

RED ALERT

Updates to ACBL's Alert Procedure

By Danny Sprung and Jeff Ford | ACBL Competition and Conventions Committee

The ACBL Board of Directors unanimously approved a comprehensive update to the ACBL Alert Procedure at their November meeting, the first major overhaul in 20 years. The new procedures go into effect Jan. 1, 2021. The full document is available at acbl.org under the Tournament tab. Look for the green Alert Procedures tab.

Bridge has never been a game of secret agreements. Your opponents are entitled to know just as much about what your bids mean as you do. Alerts have always been about making that process easier.

Whether or not a call requires an Alert, you have an obligation to explain all of your partnership understandings related to that call upon the request of an opponent.

Pre-Alerts

The first changes are at the beginning of the round. As before, you must pre-Alert the opponents if you play canape methods or different systems depending on seat or vulnerability (but not just because you play different ranges for opening 1NT). Additionally you now are required to pre-Alert if you play a system that includes at least one one-level opening bid that is not natural or that is forcing. This can be as simple as saying, "We play a strong club" or "We play 1♣ could be short." You are no longer required to pre-Alert if you lead low from small doubletons. However, if you play this, it must be included in your answer when a declarer asks about your leads and carding.

Alert changes

The new Alert procedure starts from the principle that natural calls are not Alerted, and that artificial calls are Alerted. It then gives the deviations from that principle, spelling out the natural calls that must be Alerted (for example, a response to a one-level opening bid that is not forcing), and the artificial calls that do not require Alerts (for example, Stayman). The most common calls that have had their Alertability changed are as follows:

- In an uncontested auction, no natural jumpshift (whether weak, intermediate or strong) requires an Alert.
- A direct cuebid that is not Michaels (showing both majors over a minor, or a major and an unspecified minor over a major) requires an Alert.

- Support doubles and redoubles no longer require an Alert.
- An opening 2♣ bid that does not meet the definition of Very Strong requires an Alert. (This tends to apply to partnerships who agree to open 2♣ on hands with good playing strength, but many fewer high cards than normal. See the Convention Chart for the exact definition to see if it applies to you.)



Announcement changes

There were also a few changes to Announcements, with the biggest change for transfers. Instead of saying the word "transfer," the Announcement is now the name of the suit being transferred to. For example, in the auction 1NT-2♥, where partner's 2♥ showed spades, instead of Announcing "transfer," you will now Announce "spades."

This Announcement is used in any situation where your partner is showing length in a specific other suit, as well as for doubles or redoubles that show the next suit up.

For example, if you play that 2♠ shows clubs in the auction 1NT-2♠, then you would announce "clubs."

However, if you play that 2♠ shows either minor in the auction 1NT-2♠, then you must say "Alert," even if the 1NT opener is expected to always bid clubs.

An example of the rule for doubles is if you play that after a 1♣ opening is overcalled with 1♦, that a double shows hearts (and says nothing about spades as a traditional negative double would), then you would announce "hearts" when your partner doubled. Traditional negative doubles are never Alerted or Announced.

Instead of saying "could be short" for a non-forcing minor-suit opening that might contain fewer than three cards, you must say the minimum number of cards in the suit, as in "Could be one."

If you have the agreement to routinely bypass a four-card spade suit to bid a forcing or semi-forcing 1NT over 1♥, then you add "could have four spades" to the "forcing" or "semi-forcing" Announcement. This is most likely applicable to pairs playing Flannery.

Delayed Alerts

The rules for delayed Alerts have slightly changed, with the main difference being that at the end of the auction, the declaring side should explain any delayed Alerts and


continued on page 50

BRIDGE *with* LARRY COHEN

Bridge Made Simple

Webinars!

- Learn from the BEST!
- Interact LIVE or watch recording later.
- Fun Q&A and Chat!
- Guaranteed to improve your bridge game!
- Includes lesson notes and practice deals or quiz.
- Duplicate Game after some webinars!
- **LEARN and HAVE FUN!**
- Lots of topics.
- Only \$10/\$15!



Larry Cohen, Michael Berkowitz, and Robert Todd

Every Tuesday,
Thursday, and Friday
2:00-3:30PM Eastern
Register NOW!
www.Larryco.com

Bidding Basics Quiz Answers

From quiz on page 49.

1. Pass. Who sent for you?
2. Pass. Hope partner, who is likely short in diamonds, reopens with double and you can leave it in for penalty.
3. 1♥. Four-plus hearts, 6-plus points (as if there had been no overcall).
4. 1♥. For now. Big things coming later in the auction.
5. 1NT. 6–10 with diamonds stopped and no four-card major.
6. 2♠. Weak. Don't do it with a partner who might not know.
7. 3NT. Too much for only 2NT (11–12).
8. Double. Indicates 4–4 in the majors. No rush to bid notrump.

ALERT *continued from page 35*

point out any control bids that were made during the auction without a requirement for the defense to ask about them. By having the declaring side explain these calls without prompting, there should be fewer cases of the person not on lead asking about calls before they should.

Learning curve

In any time of change, there will be people who make honest mistakes try-

ing to apply the new rules, and there are no automatic penalties for making a mistake. As with the old rules, be guided by the principle that the goal of the Alert procedure is to let the opponents know what you play.

Our sincere thanks to fellow committee members for their work on this project: Tom Carmichael, Greg Herman, Michael Rosenberg, Matt Smith and Sol Weinstein. ♣

ROBOT *continued from page 9*

Steve said. "I try not to provoke the bots! I was the beneficiary of some less-than-perfect leads and fortunate layouts."

Welton also plays in a social team game each weekend on BBO. One of the other participants is Mark Bartusek of Santa Barbara CA, who placed 27th and won the third session.

Junhui Zhu of Burnaby BC was first in Flight C. Zhu has consistently made or topped the overalls in Flights B and C. He was first in Flight C in the fall 2018 competition and first



Junhui Zhu

in both Flights B and C in the spring of 2019. Zhu improved his scores dramatically each day, starting out with 57.57%. He then scored 67.78% in the second session and ended with his best score, 71.89%. "The NABC Robot Individual is my favorite game," said Zhu. "I expect to have more fun in future similar events with more and more players."

He's probably right. The fall 2020 event was, yet again, the largest NABC Robot Individual to date, with 3259 players competing, a very slight increase from the 3242 players in the summer. One year ago, the fall contest had roughly 2100 participants. ♣