Matters Arising 78<br>being some thoughts prompted by hands played at Kendal BC 9-13 May 2022

## Count

A K 54 While West was running out of fingers and AJ4 toes as they totted their points on A Q 7 Thursday's board 10 East as dealer opened
A K 5

## Forced Finesse

I don't like finesses - they fail half the time. Sometimes though there is no alternative. On Monday only one of 4 declarers made 11 tricks in diamonds. (The traveller says 5 pairs were in diamonds, but I imagine that the 6DX by North was really 6CX or 6SX). I suspect an avoidance of the finesse in favour of ruffing black cards or running trumps until too late was responsible for this sorry state of affairs.


As declarer West should spot quickly that their only possible tricks are diamonds, AS and hearts. Ruffing black suits doesn't help the trick count as it simply turns natural trump tricks into ruffing ones. If the heart finesse works we will want to take it a second time, so will need two entries to dummy. Hence we mustn't use up the diamonds entering dummy to ruff black cards or simply playing them off to try to embarrass the defence.

The defence launch a black suit attack and we are soon in hand with either AS or a club ruff. A trump immediately pulls the singleton K from North, putting an end to any worries we had there. Heart finesse. It seems to work. Return to table via a second round of trumps. Repeat heart finesse. Works. 5D makes for the loss of a club and a spade.

|  | K 1094 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10752 |  |
|  | K |  |
|  | A Q 105 |  |
| A $7 \quad 852$ |  |  |
| A Q J 983 |  |  |
| Q1097542 A 10 |  |  |
| 8 J J97 |  |  |
|  | Q J 63 |  |
|  | K 64 |  |
|  | 6 |  |
|  | K 6432 |  |

## Ugly Slam

As South on Thursday with the 18 point A K hand shown you can be forgiven for having Q 954 slam thoughts when partner opens 1 S and K 98 rebids 2 H over your 2 C response. A Q 75 Unfortunately in this case if you pursue those thoughts and put partner in 6 H they will be faced with an ugly contract to play, there being the twin problems of potentially two trump losers and not enough tricks to overcome.

Double dummy problems are artificial, but we can learn from them if they help us understand the art of the possible. Time to sit North, don your optimist's hat and set about making 6 H double dummy on the lead of QD.

J 108765
K 632
A 3
K

| Q 93 | - | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J 108 |  | A 7 |
| 652 | 17 | Q J 1074 |
| 10964 |  | J 832 |
|  | A K |  |
|  | Q 954 |  |
|  | K 98 |  |
|  | A Q 75 |  |

First the trump suit. With the lie of the cards we can see that leading small towards dummy's Q and then returning and ducking the suit in hand will force East to use their A on our xs and enable us to use both K and Q as winners. This situation is not particularly rare and when confronted with it declarer has to decide who to play for a doubleton A . When there is a doubleton A you may only have a guesser's chance of being right, but any other line depends on a defender refusing to play their A on your high honour.

Now the trick deficit. Two spades, two diamonds, three clubs gives us seven side suit tricks. By the time we have cleared trumps, losing just one, we have won two trump tricks and have two little trumps left that can be scored separately as ruffs. That only comes to 11 .

Time for my favourite suit. Missing just 5 spades a normal 3-2 split would allow us to set up the suit with a single ruff.

Overall play goes win trick 1 in hand with AD. Small trump to table's Q and duck the return to East's now bare A. Win the club switch in hand, play off the AK S and return to hand by drawing the last trump. Ruff a spade, establishing the suit, and you now have 3 spade winners and the last trump in hand together with KD and AQ C on table to fight over the last 5 tricks.

## Balancing Act

Here is the full deal of the last hand from Monday's five table session. At three tables West played in 1D, at two North played in spades, with all contracts making.


J 4
A 1083
AK Q 73
42

West has a fairly obvious 1D opener. Clearly this was passed out at three tables, with North-South missing out on a good spade part-score. Who to blame?

There is a school of thought that says North should double holding 12 points, but this seems to be asking for trouble if South has hearts and only a modest number of black cards. Instead I believe that it is South who should come in with a double. Yes they have only 10 points, but an auction starting $1 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ is suggestive of the points being roughly evenly split between the two partnerships, and unlike North, South would be reasonably happy to hear partner bid any other suit.

Such doubles are sometimes referred to as balancing doubles and are made at least in part to protect partner in second position from the need to join in immediately with dubious values. Clearly partner needs to be aware of this, and shouldn't punish you by zooming off to the stratosphere when in fact your bid is made partly on the values they are assumed to hold. Here for example one would expect the North hand to be very interested in game opposite a second position double, but opposite a fourth hand double they should be a little more circumspect in case partner is merely balancing rather than holding a full blooded take-out double.

I welcome any comments or queries sent me at martyn@orpheusmail.co.uk though they may be used in future issues should I choose to produce such. Or they may not. You have been warned.

NB, I do try replying to mails raising a specific point, so if I seem to ignore you do check your spam folder after a day or three.

## Martyn Harris

spadeilike on BBO

