## Henley Bridge Club

# Random Exercises 8 

11 August 2020

## Example 1

## Pre-emptive bidding

## Vulnerability: None <br> Dealer: North

| North's hand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ | J | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ | K | Q | 10 | 9 | 6 |  | 4 |
| 9 | K | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  |


| Bidding: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | East | South | West |
| 3 | Pass | $3 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| $4 \downarrow$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## South's hand:

| $\bullet$ | $A$ | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bullet$ | $A$ | $K$ | 10 | 9 | 8 | 2 |
| $\bullet$ | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\&$ | $A$ | $J$ | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

North has 9 HCPs; 2 of the top 3 honours in a 7 card suit. She bids $3 \star$.

South does not like s. She has 16 points, 6 good $\vee \mathrm{s}$, and 2 other Aces. She bids $3 \vee$. This is a "forcing bid" - North must bid again.

North trusts her partner to have only bid another suit if she has the above criteria. With $2 \vee s$ and a singleton $\downarrow$, she bids $4 \vee$ for a Game contract.

## Example 2

## Losing Trick Count

## Vulnerability: All Dealer: West

## West's hand:



| Bidding: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |  |
| $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{\imath}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{}$ | Pass |  |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

West has 15 HCPs; balanced. Because her two longest suits are $\downarrow$ s and s, she bids $1 \vee$ (expecting to rebid 1 NT ).

East has 6 HCPs and so must respond. She sees a fit in $\vee \mathrm{s}$. She has 10 losers with $\Downarrow \mathrm{s}$ as trumps and assumes West has $7.10+7=17 ; 18-17=1$. Even $2 \downarrow$ may be a stretch, but she responds at the lowest level of $2 \downarrow$.

West had intended rebidding 1 NT, but West's response has shown a fit in $\vee \mathrm{s}$ and probably not enough strength for them to win a 3 NT contract.

She has 7 losers, the same number that East would have assumed. LTC is less reliable with a balanced hand, but she settles for a contract in $2 \vee$ by passing.

## Example 3

## Vulnerability: East / West

Doubling
Dealer: South, who opened 1
The Opponents pass after this initial bid

\section*{West's hand: <br> | $\bullet$ | Q | $J$ | 10 | 6 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bullet$ | $A$ | 8 | 4 |  |  |
| $\bullet$ | $Q$ | 10 |  |  |  |
| $\&$ | $K$ | $J$ | 7 |  |  |}

East's hand:

|  | 8 | 5 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bullet$ | $K$ | $J$ | 5 | 2 |
|  | $A$ | 9 | 4 | 3 |
| $\&$ | 10 | 9 | 6 |  |


| Bidding: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | West | North | East |
| $\mathbf{1 Q}$ | Pass | Pass | X |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

West has 13 HCPs and would have opened $1 \boldsymbol{4}$. All she can do is pass and hope the Opponents play in $s$.

East has 8 HCPs. Because she is in the $4^{\text {th }}$ "Protective" position, she can assume higher strength ("borrow a King"). She is short in sand has tolerance ( $3+$ cards) in the other suits. She doubles.

West knows East will expect her to bid. However, she thinks their partnership is better defending in sand will bring down a 1 contract. She passes, effectively turning the take-out double into a penalty double.

If she is wrong, she might be looking for a new partner!

## Example 4

## Pre-emptive bidding

## Vulnerability: East / West Dealer: South

South's hand:

| $\bullet$ | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\bullet$ | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| $\bullet$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\&$ | $A$ | $Q$ | $J$ | 10 | 9 | 7 | 6 |


| Bidding: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | West | North | East |
| $\mathbf{3}$ 2 | Pass | 3 NT | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

North's hand:

| $\bullet$ | $K$ | $Q$ | 10 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bullet$ | $K$ | 6 | 5 |  |
| $\diamond$ | $A$ | $Q$ | 5 | 4 |
| $\&$ | $K$ | 3 | 2 |  |

South has 7 HCPs; 2 of the top 3 honours in a 7 card suit. She bids 3 .
North has 17 HCPs and thinks about which Game contract would be best.

There is a fit in s (and cards for entries to South's hand) and good honours in the other suits. Playing in 3 NT is usually much better than playing Game in a Minor suit. She bids 3 NT.

## Example 5

## Vulnerability: East / West

1 NT \& RST

## Dealer: South, who opened 1 <br> The Opponents pass after this initial bid

West's hand:

| $\bullet$ | $A$ | $J$ | 8 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bullet$ | $A$ | 9 |  |  |
| $\bullet$ | K | 10 | 5 |  |
| $\&$ | $Q$ | $J$ | 6 | 3 |

East's hand:

| $\bullet$ | 9 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\bullet$ | $K$ | $J$ | 8 |  |  |
| $\bullet$ | $Q$ | 7 |  |  |  |
| $\&$ | $K$ | 7 | 2 |  |  |


| Bidding: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | West | North | East |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ NT | Pass | $\mathbf{2 \boldsymbol { V }}$ |
| Pass | $\mathbf{2 \boldsymbol { 4 }}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{2}$ NT |
| Pass | $\mathbf{3 \boldsymbol { 4 }}$ | Pass | Pass |
| Pass |  |  |  |

West has 15 HCPs; balanced, with a stop in the bid suit. She overcalls 1 NT.
East has 5 s, so she bids $2 \boldsymbol{\vee}$, regardless of her strength.
West automatically bids 2 .
East has 8 HCPs and she knows West has at least 15 HCPs. She asks whether West is strong enough for Game by bidding 2 NT.

West knows they have a fit in s. If East had 10 or more HCPs, she would have bid 3 NT rather than 2 NT. She knows they are not strong enough for Game and bids 3 .

## Example 6

West's hand:

| $\bullet$ | $K$ | $Q$ | 5 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\bullet$ | $A$ | $K$ | $Q$ | 9 |
| $\bullet$ | $A$ | $J$ |  |  |
| $\&$ | $K$ | $Q$ | 10 |  |

## East's hand:

| $\bullet$ | $A$ | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bullet$ | $J$ | 10 | 5 |  |
|  | 8 | 4 | 3 |  |
| $\&$ | $J$ | 5 | 3 |  |

Vulnerability: North / South
Dealer: West

## 2 Opening

| Bidding: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| $\mathbf{2 \boldsymbol { s }}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{2}$ | Pass |
| $\mathbf{2 N T}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{2}$ | Pass |
| $\mathbf{3} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{N T}$ | Pass |
| $\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |

West has 24 HCPs; balanced. She bids 2 (alerted).
East has only 7 HCPs, but must respond. She bids 2 ( alerted).
West shows her hand is balanced by bidding 2 NT.
East must bid (2 is forcing) and bids 3 (Stayman - alerted).
West responds $3 \vee$.
East knows they are strong enough for Game and bid 3 NT.
West knows that East must have 4 so (so they have a fit) and so bids 4 .

## Example 7

## Pre-emptive bidding

## Vulnerability: All

## Dealer: West

West's hand:

## $\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\bullet & K & J & 8 & & & & \\ \bullet & K & J & 10 & 9 & 7 & 6 & 5\end{array}$ <br> - 43 <br> \& 2

East's hand:

| $\bullet$ | $A$ | $Q$ | 7 | 6 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\bullet$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ | $K$ | 8 | 5 |  |  |
| $\&$ | $A$ | $K$ | $J$ | 8 | 5 |



West has 8 HCPs; 3 of the 5 honours in a 7 card $\vee$ suit. She bids $3 \vee$.

East has 17 HCPs and thinks about which Game contract would be best.
3 NT might seem attractive at first glance, but East has only 3 certain winners and just one $\vee$ card to gain entry to West's hand. She bids $4 \vee$.

## Example 8

## Vulnerability: All <br> Dealer: West

## West's hand:

| $\bullet$ | $K$ | $Q$ | 9 | 5 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\bullet$ | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| $\bullet$ | $A$ | $J$ | 2 |  |  |
| $\&$ | $A$ | $Q$ | 10 | 4 |  |


| Bidding: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ | Pass |
| $\mathbf{3} \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{3}$ | Pass |
| $\mathbf{3}$ NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |

West has 16 HCPs; unbalanced; She bids her higher ranking longest suit - 1 .

## East's hand:

- 8

| $\bullet$ | $A$ | $K$ | 5 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\diamond$ | $Q$ | 8 | 3 |  |
| $\&$ | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 |

East has 9 HCPs. She has $5 \vee$ s and satisfies Rule of 14 to bid $2 \vee$.
( $9 \mathrm{HCPs}+5 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{s}=14$ )
West bids her second suit. She shows she has $16+$ HCPs by jumping to 3 .
Three suits have been bid and East is wondering if they should be in a NT contract. She only has the one $Q$ stop. Using "Forth Suit Forcing", she bids the $4^{\text {th }}$ suit to find out if West has stops in it. She bids $3 \star$ (which West alerts).

West does have stops in $\leqslant$ s and so they should be in a NT contract. Because East bid $2 \vee$, West knows they have at least 25 HCPs and bids 3 NT.

## Example 9

## Losing Trick Count

## Vulnerability: All Dealer: West

| Bidding: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ | Pass |
| $\mathbf{3} \boldsymbol{\imath}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{7}$ | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |

West has 14 HCPs; unbalanced. She bids $1 \star$.
East has 11 HCPs. She responds $1 \boldsymbol{\downarrow}$.
West sees a fit in $\vee$ s and counts her losers as 6 and assumes East had 9 . She bids $3 \vee$. $6=9=15 ; 18-15=3$.

East counts 7 losers with $\Downarrow$ s as trumps and knows that West assumed she had 9 when bidding 3 .
East bids 4 •

