## Henley Bridge Club

## Defending

21 May 2019

## "We had rubbish cards all evening and no chance of winning"

- On average, we spend twice as much time defending as playing
- Two heads should be better than one
- ...but only if they are working together!
- Your goal is to win one more trick than the other defenders
- The other defenders will have to play with the same poor hand
\& Defending, even with a poor hand, is a great opportunity


## Information available before the first lead

2 What you can deduce from the bidding

- Was your Partner strong enough to open the bidding or compete?
- If so, what is your Partner's longest strong suit?
- How many combined points do your Opponents have if they have found their best contract?
- Which Opponent is strong in which suit?
- If Declarer is to your right, all of the above can help you decide what to lead
- You may also wish to use your first lead to give your Partner information

Suit and card to lead against a Trump contract

| Suit to lead (from non-trump suits) | Card to lead | Exceptions | Examples and <br> Effectiveness |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suit bid by partner | Top card if you have an honour (otherwise lowest) | You would need a very good reason not to (and partner might not play with you again) | Source: <br> Andrew Robson |  |
| Touching honour cards (include 10 as an honour) | Top card | Do not lead from suit with an "internal sequence" such as K, J,10, 7 | AK74 <br> KQJ6 <br> KQ76 <br> QJT4 <br> QJ52 | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| Singleton card | No choice |  | 3 | 9 |
| Longest 3+ card suit with | Lowest card | Do not lead from under an Ace | Q952 | 4 |
| one or more Honours that |  | Avoid leading with 3 cards to the Queen | K752 | 2 |
| are not in a sequence |  | Avoid leading from suit with Ace and Queen | KJ53 | 2 |
|  |  | Risky to lead with 4 cards to King or to Jack | AT74 | 0 |
| Longest suit without an Honour | Second highest card |  | 852 | 4 |
| Doubleton suit | Highest card |  | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & \text { J4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Do not lead an Ace (without having the King) except against a Slam contract |  |  |  |  |

## Opening leads against a No Trump contract

First exception to $4^{\text {th }}$ highest of your longest suit
Same longest suit, but leading a card other than the $4^{\text {th }}$ highest in a suit

| Criteria |  | Examples of what to lead |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Top card from a sequence of 3 cards, headed by an honour (incl. 10) | K Q J 83 | 109852 |
| 2 | Top card from a broken sequence, headed by an honour | AKJ5 4 | QJ963 |
| 3 | Top of an internal sequence, headed by an honour | A Q J 62 | Q 10973 |
| 4 | The Ace from Ace, King followed by 2 or 3 more cards in suit | AK54 3 | AK 62 |
| 5 | The second highest from a long suit with no honours ("high" for "hate") | 98532 | 8642 |
| But |  |  |  |
|  | Not the top card from two touching honours, other than for 4 above | K Q 532 | J 10853 |

## Information available after the first lead

- You can now see what is in Dummy's hand
- If Opponents are in a No Trump contract, did your Partner lead the $4^{\text {th }}$ highest from longest suit?


## Rule of 11

| Common No Trump lead: | $4^{\text {th }}$ highest of your longest (and strongest) suit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Why? | This helps your partner to work out your length and strength in the suit for which you believe you can make tricks |  |
| How do you know if your Partner has led the $4^{\text {th }}$ highest of a suit? | "Rule of 11" |  |
|  | 11 less the value of the led card | Number of higher cards in the hands of Dummy, you and Declarer |
|  | You can see the number of higher cards in that suit in Dummy and your Partner's hand | If this already exceeds the number above, the lead could not have been the $4^{\text {th }}$ highest. |
|  |  | If, as is often the case, the lead is the $4^{\text {th }}$ highest, you can work out whether Declarer has one or more higher cards than you - which helps you to know what to play. |

## Information available after the first lead

- You can now see what is in Dummy's hand

४ If Opponents are in a No Trump contract, did your Partner led the $4^{\text {th }}$ highest from longest suit?

- You know the combined points of Declarer and your Partner
$\checkmark$ How do you think the points are split between the two?
ـ Looking at Dummy's hand, what are the suits to lead to and to avoid?


## Example 1 of deducing what is held by Declarer and Partner



| Bidding: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |  |
| $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{r}$ | PASS | $\mathbf{1}$ | PASS |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ NT | PASS | $\mathbf{3}$ NT | PASS |  |
| PASS | PASS |  |  |  |


| What can we deduce about the other two hands? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Combined | Declarer | Partner |
| Strength: | 23 HCP | 15-16 HCP | 7 - 8 HCP |
| Content: |  | 4-5 s | $1+$ honours in s |
| What else: |  | Declarer has three s higher than 3 | 3 was probably $4^{\text {th }}$ highest of 5 |

## Example 1 of deducing what is held by Declarer and Partner



| Bidding: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |  |
| $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{\imath}$ | PASS | $\mathbf{1}$ | PASS |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ NT | PASS | $\mathbf{3}$ NT | PASS |  |
| PASS | PASS |  |  |  |


| What can we deduce about the other two hands? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Combined | Declarer | Partner |
| Strength: | 23 HCP | 15-16 HCP | 7 - 8 HCP |
| Content: |  | 4-5 s | $1+$ honours in s |
| What else: |  | Declarer has three s higher than 3 | 3 was probably $4^{\text {th }}$ highest of 5 |

## Example 2 of deducing what is held by Declarer and Partner



## Bidding:

| South | West | North | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{4}$ | PASS | $\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{4}$ | PASS |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | PASS | $\mathbf{4}$ | PASS |
| PASS | PASS |  |  |


| What can we deduce about the other two hands? |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Combined | Declarer | Partner |
| Strength: | 21 HCP | $11-15 \mathrm{HCP}$ | $6-10 \mathrm{HCP}$ |
| Content: |  | 5 s s | Void in $\boldsymbol{s}$ s |
| What else: |  | Not balanced | Not balanced <br> Has 10 |

## Example 2 of deducing what is held by Declarer and Partner



Bidding:

| South | West | North | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{4}$ | PASS | $\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{4}$ | PASS |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | PASS | $\mathbf{4}$ | PASS |
| PASS | PASS |  |  |


| What can we deduce about the other two hands? |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Combined | Declarer | Partner |
| Strength: | 21 HCP | $11-15 \mathrm{HCP}$ | $6-10 \mathrm{HCP}$ |
| Content: |  | 5 s s | Void in $\boldsymbol{s}$ |
| What else: |  | Not balanced | Not balanced <br> Has 10 |

## Example 3 of deducing what is held by Declarer and Partner

| Declarer | Your Partner |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lead A - |  |  |
|  | North |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dummy } \\ & \text { \& } \mathrm{A} 764 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | w e c | 12 | -84 |
|  | t | South | +3103 |
|  | Your hand \& K5 |  |  |
|  | - J102 |  |  |
|  | -A105 |  |  |
|  | \& 68742 |  |  |


| Bidding: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | PASS |
| PASS | $\mathbf{4}$ | PASS | PASS |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | PASS | PASS |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | PASS | PASS | PASS |

What can we deduce about the other two hands?

|  | Combined | Declarer | Partner |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strength: | 23 HCP | $11-13 \mathrm{HCP}$ | $10-12 \mathrm{HCP}$ |
| Content: |  | $5-6$ <br> $0-2$ <br> 0 | $0-1$ <br> $6-8$ <br> s |
| What else: |  | Not balanced | Not balanced <br> Has K |

## Example 3 of deducing what is held by Declarer and Partner



| Bidding: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | PASS |
| PASS | $\mathbf{4}$ | PASS | PASS |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | PASS | PASS |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | PASS | PASS | PASS |

What can we deduce about the other two hands?

|  | Combined | Declarer | Partner |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strength: | 23 HCP | $11-13 \mathrm{HCP}$ | $10-12 \mathrm{HCP}$ |
| Content: |  | $5-6$ <br> $0-2$ <br> s | $0-1$ <br> $6-8$ <br> s |
| What else: |  | Not balanced | Not balanced <br> Has K |

## Signalling to Partner

2 Two main occasions when you can signal to your Partner

1. When you are playing a card in the suit led
2. When you are discarding, i.e. you do not have a card in the suit led

* In either case, you would not play a card that is a potential winner

2 We will look at both the above types of signalling

* Some partners also signal a "Count" - not being covered today


## Signalling to Partner with a card in the suit led

- This is often referred to by the term "Attitude"
- Used when responding to Partner's lead
- A high card is "encouraging" - Please lead a card in this suit to me
- A low card is " discouraging" - I do not want you to lead this
- Robson: "High for Aye, Low for No"
- Do not use honour cards (potential winners) and treat high as $>7$; low as $<7$
* Some partnerships use "Reverse attitude" - Low encouraging; high discouraging


## Signalling to Partner with a discard

2 There are many variations, but I will mention three methods:
"Dodds"; "McKenney"; and "Attitude"

* I use McKenney with most partners and prefer it
- ....but it is a little complicated to use at first
* A fuller explanation of McKenney is on the website, but briefly:
- You discard a high card in a suit if you would like a card led to you from the higher of the other two suits
- You discard a low card in a suit if you would like a card led to you from the lower of the other two suits


## Signalling to Partner with a discard (cont.)

With Dodds:

- You discard an even card in a suit if you would like a card led to you from that suit
- You discard an odd card in a suit if you would like a card led to you from the other suit of the same colour

2 With Attitude:

* You discard a high card in the suit you would like led to you
* You discard a low card in a suit you would not like to be led to you


## Finally, some useful tips when discarding

Say you have to make discard(s). Here are some DOs and DONTs when deciding which suits to keep and which to release

## DON'T THROW when.

- It is equal length with dummy (or declarer)
- It is a four-card holding, unless you are sure declarer and dummy both have fewer cards.
- Partner is releasing the suit. He wants you to guard it
- You are voiding yourself in a key suit. One round will see you show out, exposing partner's holding


## DO THROW when...

- Partner is guarding the suit.
- Your highest card is lower than dummy's lowest card.
- You are baring an honour in order to keep essential guards and/or winners elsewhere.
- It is dummy's short suit (in a trump contract), and declarer has spurned a chance to ruff losers (he cannot have any losers to ruff).

Source: - Andrew Robson' lesson on Signals and Discards

NB - Chart included for completeness - For those who wish to know more

