## Cuebids by Advancer

a.k.a. Reese Cuebids

# Responding to an Overcall

(Advancing)

- \* The auction: (1 \$)-1 \$-(P)-???
- \* Partner has: 6-16 HCPs and 5+4s.
- \* What should we bid with:
- \* A: ♠x ♥QJTxxx ♦ Axx ♣xxx
- \* B: ♠AXX ♥KXXX ♦XXX ♣XXX
- \* C: ♠KQx ♥KQxxx ♦Kx ♣xxx

### Partnership Agreements

with respect to Overcalls

- \* How Strong?
- \* Responses Forcing or not?
- \* Modern Style:
  - \* At the One-level, usually 6-16 HCPs
  - \* At the Two-level, 10-16 nVul., 13-16 Vul.
  - \* Responses: Non-Forcing Constructive
  - \* Cuebid by Advancer: Only Forcing Bid

#### SIMPLE OVERCALL

1 level\_\_6\_\_to\_\_1\_6\_\_HCP (usually) often 4 cards□ very light style□

#### Responses

New Suit: Forcing NFConst NF NF Jump Raise: Forcing Inv. Weak Cue=10+ Jump Cue=Const Raise

#### Bidding by Advancer

(non-forcing bids)

- \*The auction: (1 -1)-1 (P)-???
- \* A: ♠x ♥QJTxxx ♦ Axx ♣xxx
  - \* Bid 2 , non-forcing, NOT encouraging
  - \* just trying to find a better contract
- \* B: ♠AXX ♥KXXXX ♦XXX ♣XXX
  - \* Bid 2 , non-forcing, NOT invitational
  - \* modest values, may buy the contract

(forcing bids)

- \* The auction: (1 \$)-1 \$-(P)-???
- \* C: ♠KQx ♥KQxxx ♦Kx ♣xxx
  - \* Bid 24, forcing, at least invitational
  - \* Advancer may/may not have support
  - \* Overcaller rebids 2 \( \Phi \) with less than opening, else something descriptive

(a forcing example)

- \* Your hand: ♠KQxx ♥Axxx ♦xx ♣Kxx
- \* The Auction:

\* Partner: AAXXXX VXX KX AAQJX

(another forcing example)

- \* Your hand: AKJxx Vxx Xx AKJx
- \* The Auction:

6-16 HCPs, 5+ Vs

10+ HCPs, ...

6-11 HCPs

\* Partner: Axxx VAJTxx Kxx Qx

#### Jump Bidding by Advancer

what are those partnership agreements?

- \* The Auction: (1 ♥)-1 ♠-(2♥)-3 ♠
  - \* weak (probably 4 \$ s)
  - \* e.g. ♠QJTx ♥Jxx ♦JTxxx ♣x
- \* The Auction: (1 \ )-1 \ -(P)-3 \
  - \* if 24 is to play, then 34 must be stronger
  - \* highly invitational
  - \* expect good suit plus 13+ HCPs
  - \* e.g. ♠Q ♥AJT ♦ Jx ♣KQJxxxx

### Jump Cuebidding by Advancer

what are those partnership agreements?

- \* The Auction: (1 \ )-1 \ -(P)-3 \ -
  - \* definitely NOT Western
  - \* it could be a useful splinter
  - \* e.g. ♠JTxx ♥- ♦KQxxx ♣AQxx
  - \* OR it could be a constructive raise!
  - \* e.g. ♠JTxx ♥JT ♦ Kxx ♣Axxx

(bidding summary)

```
* The auction: (1 &)-1 &-(P)-???
                             * 34 - 8-9, 4 support
* 1NT - 6-9, nf, $stop
                             * 3 ♦ - inv, nf, good ♦
* 2 - 10+, forcing
                          * 3 V - inv, nt, good V
* 2 \rightarrow - to play, good \rightarrow
* 2  - to play, good •
                          * 3 \( - \text{weak, 4} \( \)
* 2 - to play, - support * 3NT - to play
* 2NT - 10-12, nf, $stop * 4 $\infty$ - to play
```

#### Cuebids by Advancer

When is it natural?

\* SAYC: When the opponents have bid two suits, a cuebid is natural

(opener: &xxx, responder: \vectfar{xxxx}, advancer: AKJxxx)

$$X-(P)-P-(2 \rightarrow )-$$
 (responder has good  $\Rightarrow$ s)

\* 
$$(1 - X - (P) - P - (advancer has good + s)$$

#### Cuebids by Advancer

When is a cuebid game forcing?

\* like 4th suit forcing

\* dbl & bid = strong, dbl & cue = demand

\* overcaller is 13+ HCPs, so is advancer, but does not promise 4 card  $\spadesuit$ s