| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \boldsymbol{2}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{\&} 1$ | $2 \diamond$ |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | $3 \boldsymbol{\ell}$ | Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

${ }^{1}$ Michaels. 5-5 in the majors. North-South reach 5e after East shows a moderate major twosuiter. West's lead-directing 2 bid duly brings the best lead and East produces the $\triangle$ at trick one. West overtakes that and cashes the first two Spade tricks. Now what? A Heart switch at trick three seems obvious - and it's

## Hand 9

East-West Game, Dealer North

- 1075
 wrong! How might declarer make the hand? By ruffing out the Diamonds. A Heart switch would be taken by the Ace and now declarer would (make that should) play off the $\diamond$ A, ruff a Diamond, a Club to dummy, ruff a Diamond, draw trumps ending on the table and run the winning Diamonds. All the Heart losers would go on the established side-suit. How could West have prevented this? By leading a third Spade at trick three, forcing dummy to ruff. Now declarer is unable to set up Diamonds and reach them and 5quietly expires. If East held six Spades would he not have raised to 3 on the total tricks principle?


## Hand 10

Game All, Dealer East
AK953
A 1076
None

- A 642
 is over and the slam would make - all dummy's Clubs would disappea on declarer's Diamonds. The winning defence is read the lead and then to Keep Calm and Carry On - East must duck the Diamond round to the Queen. Now declare has only one Club discard, not three. On many layouts declarer would be able to wangle an endplay by eliminating Diamonds and Hearts and playing off the $\% \mathrm{~A}$ and another Club. If either defender started with $\% \mathrm{~K} \times$ or similar they might be endplayed, forced to furnish a ruff-and-discard. That shouldn't happen here - with Clubs 3-3 6s should expire though natural causes.


## Hand 12

North-South Game, Dealer West

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \diamond 1$ | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| $1 \mathrm{NT}^{2}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |

Playing a strong No-trump.
2 12-14, balanced.
South leads the $\vee 2$ against 4s and North ought to be able to read the lead, even if East falsecards with the Queen. (If South held three Hearts to an honour would he not have raised to $2 \vee$ ?) So North takes the $\vee A$ and returns the Ten, asking for a Diamond. South ruffs and duly leads a Diamond... and 4s makes! How? Because declarer ruffs the Diamonds out. ( $\diamond$ A, Diamond ruff, Spade to dummy, Diamond ruff, Spade to dummy, Diamond ruffed high. Draw the last trump, cross to the $\vee \mathrm{K}$, cash the thirteenth Diamond). South should be able to see that there is no hurry to play a Diamond - any tricks in that suit are scarce going to run away. With Clubs well held the priority is to remove entries to the dummy - to that end he should lead a trump at trick three. Now 4s cannot make.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $1 \checkmark$ | Pass | $4 \diamond^{1}$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| Pass |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Splinter bid, agreeing Hearts. South makes a slight overbid of $4 \diamond$ on this hand and North-South reach $4 \nabla$. East leads the 10 and West can see his way to an obvious defence, assuming East has the A. The defence can take the $\$$, a Club to the Ace, a Spade ruff and the Ace of trumps.

## Hand 13

Game All, Dealer North

- 764
©QJ832
$\wedge \mathrm{KQR}^{2}$
+42


However, this may be obvious to West, but it isn't obvious to East. If West takes the first Spade and plays a Club East is likely to try to give his partner a Club ruff. How could he tell? If West takes the \$A and plays a neutral card - a Diamond in this case, the inferences may be clearer. Now when West takes the Ace of trumps and plays a Club East has nothing else to do but take the Ace and play a Spade. That's the theory, anyway.

Hand 14
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Love All, Dealer East } \\ & 52 \\ & 59 \\ & 9 \text { AK } 1053 \\ & 109652\end{aligned}$

| West | North | East | South <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |

South decides to lead the $\diamond 9$ against 4a and the hand looks easy to defend. However, there's many a slip 'twixt cup and lip and
 may take dummy's Jack with the King and lead the 99 . Now, what is South to do? Knowing North has the $\diamond A K$ and being unsure of the Heart position might he not play another Diamond? Provided declarer covers that in dummy the chance to defeat the contract has gone. "How could I tell?" will be the cry from South. In fact, this is really North's fault. He can see that the 8 A in the South hand is likely to lead to defeat for East - he should not put temptation in South's way. He should take the $\diamond J$ with the Ace and lead back a Heart. How could South do anything but play another Heart now? Now North cashes the $\diamond A$ and North-South live happily ever after.

| West | North | East | South <br> Pass |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{p}^{1}$ | Pass |
| $1 \bigcirc$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Playing five-card majors. Might be a three-card suit.
North, not knowing if East has Clubs, leads the 10 against 4 . West takes this in hand and naturally starts off by drawing trumps. South takes the King and switches to the 4 . Now, seeing all four hands, the right defence is

## Hand 15

North-South Game, Dealer South

evident - North should duck this, enabling the defenders to take two high trumps, the A and a Spade ruff. However, is this clear to North? Well, it should be obvious that South has the $>$ A K (if West has the $\triangle A$ why not take the trump finesse?). Why could South not have a singleton Spade, though? Ah! That's the clever bit. If South had a singleton Spade and $\vee \mathrm{A} K \times$ he should cash two top trumps before playing a Spade. This would force North to take the Ace of Spades to give his partner a ruff. The fact that he hasn't done that means he hasn't got that. If you see what I mean.


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | $1 \searrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \vee$ | Pass | Pass |
| Pass |  |  |  |

West leads the $\triangleleft K$ against $4 \bigcirc$ and East plays the Two. Assuming East has three Diamonds (rather than a singleton) how should West defend the hand? Well, there is a danger that a loser may be dumped on the $\diamond$ Q in due course, and the only suit that offers any promise for defensive tricks is Spades. East has to have the 10 to defeat this hand - and the right switch at trick two is the Q . When that gets covered on table East has to duck - with the Seven, of course. How does East know that the $₫ Q$ isn't singleton? Well, if it were, West would have cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ first to ensure East took his $\uparrow$ A quickly. This idea has echoes of Hand 15 and is a very difficult defence to find at the table. If East-West do find it declarer has nowhere to go for his tenth trick - East-West have the tempo to claim two Spades and two Diamonds.

